## Message

From: Hisel-Mccoy, Sara [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=0641D449FE4E4444971B9F695B74F0B8-SARA HISEL-MCCOY]

**Sent**: 10/29/2013 11:54:31 AM

To: Southerland, Elizabeth [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=de08b1c1374b4f6cb5096fa5db6ef03b-ESouther]; Buffo, Corey

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d5112a95233948d18e8eff97d1d9c7f0-Buffo, Corey)

**Subject**: Re: Petition to EPA Administrator -- WA toxics

Really - given everything else - I can't really blame them for their stance. Sara

From: Southerland, Elizabeth

Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 7:32:45 AM

To: Hisel-Mccoy, Sara; Buffo, Corey

Subject: Fw: Petition to EPA Administrator -- WA toxics

Fyi

From: Opalski, Dan

**Sent:** Monday, October 28, 2013 7:39:10 PM

**To:** Opalski, Dan; Southerland, Elizabeth; Stoner, Nancy **Subject:** RE: Petition to EPA Administrator -- WA toxics

With a fairly full plate already, Washington's current posture is to wait to see how ESA interaction plays out in Oregon and Idaho on the aquatic life criteria. Basically, I think they want to be measured about putting resources into adopting our update 304a criteria if others are effectively testing whether they'll need to do something more/different.

From: Opalski, Dan

**Sent:** Monday, October 28, 2013 2:09 PM **To:** Southerland, Elizabeth; Stoner, Nancy

**Subject:** RE: Petition to EPA Administrator -- WA toxics

I'll confirm, but I think there assuming that'll be separate. Nina's perspective is that Washington is generally too far overdue in responding to our criteria updates. I take this approach as saying she's not hyper impatient, but she wants to see indications of revisions getting underway.

From: Southerland, Elizabeth

**Sent:** Monday, October 28, 2013 2:06 PM

To: Opalski, Dan; Stoner, Nancy

**Subject:** RE: Petition to EPA Administrator -- WA toxics

Most of the petition is focused on the need to update the fish consumption rate in the human health criteria. The petition also asks for EPA promulgation of aquatic life criteria. Is WA also working on updating all their aquatic life criteria at the same time they are evaluating the fish consumption rate for their human health criteria?

Elizabeth Southerland, Director Office of Science & Technology Office Water Room 5233A - MC - 4301T Washington, D. C. 20460 Direct: (202) 566-0328 Fax: (202) 566-0441

From: Opalski, Dan

**Sent:** Monday, October 28, 2013 2:45 PM **To:** Stoner, Nancy; Southerland, Elizabeth

Subject: FW: Petition to EPA Administrator -- WA toxics

Nancy/Betsy -

Our understanding from conversation with Nina late last week is that this really is meant to get a clock ticking on the "ultimate" backstop for Washington should their existing processes not stay on track.

DanO.

From: Nina Bell [mailto:nbell@advocates-nwea.org]

**Sent:** Monday, October 28, 2013 10:18 AM **To:** Opalski, Dan; Psyk, Christine; Chung, Angela

Cc: Szelag, Matthew

**Subject:** Petition to EPA Administrator -- WA toxics

Dan, Christine, and Angela:

Please find attached the petition to Administrator McCarthy regarding Washington's toxic criteria.

Nina

Nina Bell, J.D., Executive Director Northwest Environmental Advocates P.O. Box 12187 Portland, OR 97212-0187 www.NorthwestEnvironmentalAdvocates.org nbell@advocates-nwea.org 503/295-0490